SUBJECTS.

After replying in detail to the representations on the Educational subjects brought forward by the Members, the Dewan said:—

Dr. Mitchell feels that there is a certain amount of misapprehension with regard to the Education Code; and he thinks that, if he has an opportunity of meeting informally and having a talk over the matter with those Members who have taken objection to certain parts of the Code, he will be in a position to correct and explain matters, and they will also be in a position to show him where their difficulties lie. I think that this is a matter which deserves consideration. I therefore propose that the following Members, who have spoken on the subject and who take an interest in it, should meet Dr. Mitchell here to-morrow (Sunday, 9th January 1910) at 8 A. M. precisely and have a talk with him, and we shall then see how matters stand.

Messrs. (1) *C. M. Narayana Panikar* (Member, Ambalapuzha Taluk).
(2) *K. Madhavan Pillai* (Member, Kalkulam Taluk).
(3) *K. Krishnan Pandalay* (Member, Quilon Taluk).
(4) *Kunju Kadir Pillai* (Member, Nominated)
(5) *K. Kunju Panikar* (Member, S. N. D. P. Yogam, Trivandrum).
(6) *K. Atchuthan Pillai* (Member, Trivandrum Taluk).
(7) *Devan Govindan* (Member, Kottarakara Taluk).
(8) *Narayana Pillai* (Member, Ettumanur Taluk).
(9) *K. G. Sesha Aiyar* (Member, Nominated).
(10) *T. Atchuthan Pillai* (Member, Alangad Taluk).

VIII. Religious and Charitable Institutions.

57. Better management of the State Charities.

Mr. M. K. Govinda Pillai (Member, Trivandrum Taluk) said that, of all the reforms introduced by the Government, the Educational reform, so far as primary education was made free, and the reform of the State Charities (which he would designate eleemosynary reform) were the most popular and universally appreciated. As regards the reform of the Oottoos, every one was thankful to Government for the bold step they had taken. He further said that charities must be kept within proper limits. He

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

SUBJECTS.

had intended to talk about the Agrasala; but as the Dewan had promised, in his address, to take up shortly the question of the Agrasala, the Member did not want to press the point. He would suggest however that the number to be fed every day might be checked, or that the quantity of the provisions to be spent on that behalf might be fixed. The Member also thanked Government, in anticipation, for the proposed reform of the Agrasala.

Dewan's reply.—Noted.

58. Suggestions for the better management of the Devaswoms.

Mr. K. K. Kuruvila (Member, Kottayam Taluk) submitted a petition in which he requested that Government might be pleased, in the best interests of the Devaswom institutions, to order the Dewan Peishkars to collect statistics as to the number of the Private Dewaswoms in each taluk, with an annual income of a thousand rupees or more. Considering the vast extent of the Private Devaswom properties and tenantry in the State, the policy of Government towards these institutions should be that of the Suzerain towards Feudatory States, *i. e.*, to maintain all that is good in their individuality, but to arrest the growth of their evil tendencies by allowing the Uralars of even assumed institutions to represent their opinions on the yearly adminstration reports submitted by the Sirkar's Managers, for the consideration of the higher authorities, and while subjecting the tenant population to the rigour of the Revenue Recovery laws for ensuring the timely and quick collection of the settled annual dues of the Devaswoms, to persuade the Devaswam authorities to appreciate the advantages of their accepting the acknowledged principles of the Sirkar settlement as the ideal of equity in all their unsettled or accessory relations with their subjects, and so to help forward the material prosperity of the State.

Dewan's reply.—Noted.

59. Mismanagement of the Ooranma Devaswoms and their properties.

Mr. K. Kesava Pillai (Member, Vilavankode Taluk) made the following suggestions for checking the mismanagement of the Ooranma (Private) Devawoms and their properties:—

(1) Instructions should be issued to the Tahsildar of each taluk to submit a monthly report as to how the private temples were managed.

(2) The Tahsildar of a taluk should send a list of such temples in a taluk.

(3) Either the Tahsildar or the Peishkar should be empowered to take immediate action against the mismanagement of a private temple.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly